RECEIVED

OCT 1 5 1992

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of:

Policies and Rules Pertaining to the Equal Access Obligations of Cellular Licensees RM-8012

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION

The United States Telephone Association (USTA) respectfully submits these reply comments on the Petition for Rulemaking filed by MCI Communications Corporation requesting that the Commission apply the obligations of equal access to providers of cellular service.

The focus of the comments in this proceeding has been on the need for equal access in the mobile arena, i.e., whether there are significant benefits that outweigh the costs of implementing equal access for cellular mobile service. The comments of various parties have established that there are technical difficulties in the provision of at least some equal access capabilities to a cellular customer who travels across cells, MSAs, RSAs, LATAs or a particular cellular operator's service lines. USTA does not take a position here as to the merits of

No. of Copies rec'd 0+/6 List A B C D E

<u>See</u>, for example, comments of Vanguard Cellular Systems, Inc. at 2, Unity Cellular Systems, Inc., <u>et.al</u>. at 6, SNET Cellular, Inc. at 5, Pioneer Telephone Cooperative Inc. at 5, Cellular

making equal access applicable to mobile users.

However, equal access for interstate callers has been a core policy of this Commission in promoting interexchange competition in <u>fixed</u> service - service in which the customer is in one place.

There is a strong endorsement of the "level playing field" principle that permeates the comments in this proceeding.²
Regardless of how the Commission addresses this in the mobile arena, it should reaffirm its commitment to equal access in the provision of <u>fixed</u> service, even if that fixed service is provided using cellular radio rather than wire.

The Commission has permitted the provision of fixed service on an incidental basis in the cellular area.³ Though it proposes to retain the general policy, the Commission now has proposed to remove the provision in its rules to that effect.⁴ Should the provision of fixed service through cellular networks

Telecommunications Industry Association at 10, PMN, Inc. at 7, RFB Cellular, Inc. at 2, Cellular Information Systems, Inc. at 3, Ally, Inc. et.al. at 9 and Centel Cellular at 8.

Comments of AT&T at 2, 4, Bell Atlantic at 4 and OPASTCO at 2.

Liberalization of Technology and Auxiliary Service Offerings in the Cellular Radio Service, 3 FCC Rcd 7033 (1988), recon. 5 FCC Rcd 1138 (1990).

Revision of Part 22 of the Commission's Rules Governing the Public Mobile Services, CC Docket No. 92-115, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, released June 12, 1992.

increase, more users that rely upon a cellular telephone for basic service will be carved out from the Commission's equal access policies in the absence of a conforming equal access decision. Movement by cellular operators into fixed service could provide incentives in many cases for abandonment of the backbone networks of exchange carriers, and at the same time, abandonment of compliance with policies promoting equal access in fixed service. It would set a precedent that the Commission's policies favoring equal access for customers will be asymmetrically and unfairly applied by the Commission to providers of fixed service. It also would signal that, as competition and use of different types of access technologies grow, the Commission itself will condone the erosion or abandonment of its equal access policies.

Where specific circumstances merit it, the Commission has accepted that provision of equal access need not be offered immediately, and has permitted temporary noncompliance where capital investment demands justify offering of equal access only in the future. However, the prevailing rule remains that equal access is expected for fixed service. That should be the case for any offering of cellular fixed service. However the Commission decides this issue for mobile service, consistency in policymaking compels a policy that expects equal access for fixed

MTS and WATS Market Structure, Phase III, 94 F.C.C. 2d (1983).

applications of cellular service. The Commission could excuse cellular fixed equal access, for example, in those few rural areas where equal access has not yet been deployed by exchange carriers. As switching capability and investment progress, compliance should follow with respect to those fixed, <u>i.e.</u>, <u>non-mobile cellular services</u>.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION

ву

Martin T. McCue Vice President and General Counsel U.S. Telephone Asso

U.S. Telephone Association 900 19th St., NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006-2105 (202) 835-3114

October 15, 1992

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephanie Kantor, do certify that on October 15, 1992 copies of the foregoing Reply Comments of the United States

Telephone Association were either hand-delivered, or deposited in the U.S. Mail, first-class, postage prepaid to the persons on the attached service list.

Stephenie Kantor

Arthur Blooston
Robert M. Jackson
Gerard J. Duffy
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson
& Dickens
2120 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

John W. Hunter McNair Law Firm, P.A. 1155 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

David L. Nace
Marci E. Greenstein
Lukas, McGowan, Nace &
Gutierrez, Chartered
1819 H Street, NW
Seventh Floor
Washington, DC 20006

Anne U. MacClintock SNET Cellular, Inc. 227 Church Street Room 1003 New Haven, CT 06510

Paul C. Besozzi Besozzi & Gavin 1901 L Street, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036

Kevin C. Gallagher Centel Cellular Company 8725 Higgins Road Chicago, IL 60631

Leon M. Kestenbaum
Phyllis A. Whitten
Sprint Communications Co.,
L.P.
1850 M Street, NW
11th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

John S. Logan
J.G. Harrington
Jonathan M. Levy
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1255 23rd Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

Genevieve Morelli Competitive Telecomm. Assn. 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 220 Washington, DC 20036

Carolyn C. Hill ALLTEL Service Corporation 1710 Rhode Island Avenue, NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

Josephine S. Trubek
Rochester Telephone Mobile
Communications
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester, NY 14646

Francine J. Berry
David P. Condit
Leonard J. Cali
AT&T
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3244J1
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Lisa M. Zaina
OPASTCO
2000 K Street, NW
Suite 205
Washington, DC 20036

William F. Hughes
Thelen, Marrin, Johnson &
Bridges
805 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Roy L. Morris Allnet Communications Services, Inc. 1990 M Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

Michael F. Altschul Cellular Telecommunications Industry Assn. 1133 21st Street, NW Third Floor Washington, DC 20036

David Cosson
Steven E. Watkins
National Telephone Cooperative
Assn.
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20037

Richard M. Tettelbaum Gurman, Kurtis, Blask and Freedman, Chartered 1400 16th Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

John M. Goodman James R. Young John Thorne Bell Atlantic 1710 H Street, NW 8th Floor Washington, DC 20006

Brenda L. Fox Leonard J. Kennedy Laura H. Phillips Dow, Lohnes & Albertson 1225 23rd Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Brian Sulmonetti ATC 1515 South Federal Highway Suite 400 Boca Raton, FL 33432-7404 Bob F. McCoy Joseph W. Miller Lisa E. Manning WilTel, Inc. P.O. Box 2400 One Williams Center Suite 3600 Tulsa, OK 74102

R. Michael Senkowski Jeffrey S. Linder Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Peter M. Connolly Koteen and Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 Thomas Gutierrez Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1819 H Street, NW Seventh Floor Washington, DC 20006

Cathleen A. Massey McCaw Cellular Comm., Inc. 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 401 Washington, DC 20036 Gail L. Polivy GTE 1850 M Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Marsha Olch McCaw Cellular Comm., Inc. 5400 Carillon Point Kirkland, WA 98033